

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,114	03/05/2002	Rainer Hillebrand	RBL0085	6351	
7590 12/22/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John F Hoffman			FAROOQ, MO	FAROOQ, MOHAMMAD O	
Baker & Daniels 111 East Wayne Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 800			2182	2182	
Fort Wayne, I	N 46802		DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/048,114	HILLEBRAND, RAINER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad O. Farooq	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2004 is. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/048,114

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinomura et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,108,709 in view of Sitrick. U.S. Pat. No. 5,728,960.
- 2. As to claim 8, Shinomura et al. teach process for the automatic adaptation of the data to be transferred from a data-preparing device to a data-requesting device, to the capabilities of the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 19-67; col. 4, lines 1-9), in which the data-preparing device receives information about the capabilities of the data-requesting device, and the data to be transferred are transmitted to the data-requesting device in correspondence to the pre-determined capabilities (col. 3, lines 19-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,114

Art Unit: 2182

Shinomura et al. do not teach information data contain statements in regard to display format and wherein a list of usable display formats is transmitted to the data-preparing device, and the data-preparing device, then, according to availability, selects the best-suited display format. Sitrick teaches information data contain statements in regard to display format and wherein a list of usable display formats is transmitted to the data-preparing device, and the data-preparing device, then, according to availability, selects the best-suited display format (col. 24, lines 6-12. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Shinomura et al. and Sitrick because that would provide simplified and adaptive user input selections (col. 4, lines 56-67)

Page 3

- 3. As to claim 9, Shinomura et al. teach information data from the data-requesting device are transmitted to the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-46).
- 4. As to claim 10, Shinomura et al. teach the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device through a device (database) engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-67).
- 5. As to claim 11, Shinomura et al. teach the data to be requested are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call are formatted (changed) by the formatting device into the prescribed data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 28-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,114 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

6. As to claim 12, Shinomura et al. teach the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device over a device engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device (col. 3, lines 28-67).

- 7. As to claim 13, Shinomura et al. teach the requested data are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into the predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 28-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).
- 8. As to claim 14, Shinomura et al. teach the requested data are stored in a central data bank (database) of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into a predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device (col. 3, lines 1-67; col. 4, lines 1-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,114 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,114 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (571) 272-4144. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Mohammad O. Farooq December 3, 2004